# CHAPTER 10: NONREGULAR LANGUAGES \*

Peter Cappello Department of Computer Science University of California, Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, CA 93106 cappello@cs.ucsb.edu

- The corresponding textbook chapter should be read before attending this lecture.
- These notes are not intended to be complete. They are supplemented with figures, and other material that arises during the lecture period in response to questions.

<sup>\*</sup>Based on Theory of Computing, 2nd Ed., D. Cohen, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

## THE PUMPING LEMMA

**DEFINITION:** A language that cannot be defined by a regular expression is a **nonregular language** or an **irregular language**.

- **THEOREM:** For all regular languages, L, with infinitely many words, there exists a constant n (which depends on L) such that for all strings  $w \in L$ , where  $|w| \ge n$ , there exists a factoring of w = xyz, such that:
  - $y \neq \Lambda$ .
  - $|xy| \le n$ .
  - For all  $k \ge 0, xy^k z \in L$ .

**PROOF:** 

- 1. Since L is regular, there is an FA A that accepts L.
- 2. Let  $|Q_A| = n$ .
- 3. Since  $|L| = \infty$ , there exists a word  $w = a_0 a_1 \cdots a_m \in L$ , for  $m \ge n$ .

- 4. Let  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_m$  be the sequence of states visited by w as it is accepted by A. Since  $m \ge n$ , at least 1 of these states appears previously in the sequence: There exists i < j such that  $p_i = p_j$ . Draw a picture of this situation.
- 5. Factor w into 3 strings as follows:
  - $x = a_0 a_1 \cdots a_i$ .
  - $y = a_{i+1}a_{i+2}\cdots a_j$ .
  - $z = a_{j+1}a_{j+2}\cdots a_m$ .
- 6. Although either x or z may be  $\Lambda$ ,  $|y| \ge 1$ ; the smallest loop in A is a self-loop, which consumes 1 symbol.
- 7. For any  $k \ge 0, xy^k z \in L$ .

#### The Pumping Lemma as a 2-Person Game

- 1. You pick the language L to be proved nonregular.
- 2. Your adversary picks n, but does not reveal to you what n is. You must devise a move for all possible n's.
- 3. You pick w, which may depend on n.  $|w| \ge n$ .
- 4. Your adversary picks a factoring of w = xyz. Your adversary does not reveal what the factors are, only that they satisfy the constraints of the theorem: |y| > 0 and  $|xy| \le n$ .
- 5. You "win" by picking k, which may be a function of n, x, y, and z, such that  $xy^kz \notin L$ .

 $\{a^nb^n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$  Is Nonregular

Proof

- 1. Assume that the adversary has chosen a particular n.
- 2. Pick  $w = a^n b^n$ .
- 3. Since  $|xy| \le n$ ,  $y = a^i$ , for some i > 0.
- 4. Then,  $xy^2z \notin L$ , since it has at least 1 more *a* than *b*.

 $\{w \mid w \text{ has an equal number of } a$ 's & b's  $\}$  Is Nonregular Proof

- 1. We refer to the language under consideration as EQUALS.  $\{a^nb^n\mid n\geq 0\}=a^*b^*\cap EQUAL.$
- 2. If EQUALS is regular, then  $\{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\}$  is regular.
- 3.  $\{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\}$  is nonregular.
- 4. EQUALS is nonregular.

Study the applications of the pumping lemma given in the textbook.

### The Myhill-Nerode Theorem

Given a language L, define a binary relation, E, on strings in  $\Sigma^*$ , where xEy when for all  $z \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $xz \in L \iff yz \in L$ .

- 1. E is an equivalence relation.
- 2. If L is regular, E partitions L into finitely many equivalence classes.
- 3. If E partitions L into finitely many equivalence classes, L is regular.

Proof

- 1. For part 1:
  - E is reflexive: xEx, for all  $x \in \Sigma^*$ .
  - E is symmetric: If xEy then yEx.

- E is transitive: If xEy and yEz then xEz.
  - (a) Let xEy and yEz, and  $w \in \Sigma^*$ .
  - (b) Since  $xEy, xw \in L \iff yw \in L$ .
  - (c) Since  $yEz, yw \in L \iff zw \in L$ .
  - (d) Therefore,  $xw \in L \iff zw \in L$ : xEz.
- 2. Since L is regular, there is an FA A that accepts it.

Associate with each string, w, the state, q of A that w ends in.

If x and y are associated with the same state, they are in the same equivalence class.

Since A has a finite number of states, there is only a finite number of distinct equivalence classes.

(It may be *fewer* than  $|Q_A|$ .)

3. Let  $C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_n$  be the finite equivalence classes. Let  $\Lambda \in C_0$ .

Claim: For all  $C_i, C_i \subseteq L$  or  $C_i \cap L = \emptyset$ .

- (a) Let  $x, y \in C_i$  and  $x \in L$ .
- (b) Then,  $x\Lambda \in L \iff y\Lambda \in L$ .
- (c) Thus,  $y \in L$ .
- (d) By analogous reasoning, if  $x \notin L$ , then  $y \notin L$ .

We build an FA E that accepts L.

- $Q_E$ : The  $C_i$  are E's states.
- $C_0$  is E's start state.

If  $C_i \subseteq L$ , then  $C_i \in F_E$ .

For the  $\delta$  function, consider the following.

(a) Let  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $z \in \Sigma^*$ . If  $x, y \in C_i$ , then  $x(az) \in L \iff y(az) \in L$ .

- (b) Then,  $(xa)z \in L \iff (ya)z \in L$ . Thus,  $xa, ya \in C_j$  for some j.
- (c) Define  $\delta(C_i, a) = C_j$ .
- 4. Clearly, the language accepted by E is L.
- 5. Therefore, L is regular.

## Applications of Myhill-Nerode

### $a^n b^n$ is nonregular

#### Proof

Each  $a^i$  is not equivalent to  $a^j$ , when  $i \neq j$ ;  $a^i b^i \in L$  but  $a^j b^i \notin L$ . There thus are infinitely many equivalence classes.

Please see other applications in the textbook.

### QUOTIENT LANGUAGES

**DEFINITION:** Pref $(Q \text{ in } R) = \{p \mid \text{ there exists } q \in Q \text{ such that } pq \in R\}.$ 

Example:

**THEOREM:** If R is regular and L is a language, then Pref(L in R) is regular.

Proof

Since R is regular, there is an FA that accepts it.

Let A be such an FA.

Construct an FA P that accepts Pref(L in R) as follows:

- 1.  $Q_P = Q_A$ .
- 2. The start state of P is  $q_0$ , the start state of A.
- 3.  $q \in F_P$  if there exists a  $w \in L$  such that starting w in q leads to an accepting state in A.

4. 
$$\delta_P = \delta_A$$

P accepts all words p such that  $pw \in R$  for some  $w \in L$ .