# Chapter 11: Decidability \*

Peter Cappello Department of Computer Science University of California, Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, CA 93106 cappello@cs.ucsb.edu

- The corresponding textbook chapter should be read before attending this lecture.
- These notes are not intended to be complete. They are supplemented with figures, and other material that arises during the lecture period in response to questions.

<sup>\*</sup>Based on Theory of Computing, 2nd Ed., D. Cohen, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

# DEFINITIONS

- A problem is **effectively solvable** if there is an *algorithm* for solving it (a procedure that completes after finitely many steps, the maximum of which is known in advance, but may depend on the size of the input).
- A problem whose solution is "yes" or "no" is a **decision problem**.
- An effective solution to a decision problem is a **decision procedure**.
- A decision problem that has a decision procedure is **decidable**.

THEOREM: Let A be an FA. The question "Is  $L(A) = \emptyset$ ?" is decidable. PROOF:

- 1.  $L(A) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if there is a path from A's start state to some final state.
- 2. The following algorithm returns true if and only if there is a path from A's start state to some final state.

```
boolean isEmpty(FA A) {
    paint q_0 blue;
    set.put(q_0);
    while (! set.isEmpty()) {
        p = set.remove();
        For each a \in \Sigma,
        if ( p' = \delta(p, a) is not blue ) {
            paint p' blue;
            set.put(p');
        }
    }
    return ( there is a blue final state ) ? false : true;
}
```

```
4
```

**THEOREM:** Let A be an FA with n states. If  $L(A) \neq \emptyset$ , A accepts a word w, |w| < n.

### **PROOF:**

- 1. The shortest path from A's start state to some final state, if any, can be no longer than n-1: It cannot involve a circuit.
- 2. The concatenation of arc labels consists of less than n letters.

Thus, "Is  $L(A) = \emptyset$ ?" also can be answered by running A on no more than

$$m^{n-1} + m^{n-2} + \dots + m^0$$

5

words, where  $m = |\Sigma|$ .

**THEOREM:** Let A and B be FA accepting  $L_A$  and  $L_B$ , respectively, and E and F be regular expressions. The following questions are decidable:

- 1. Is  $L_A = \emptyset$ ?
- 2. Is  $L_A = L_B$ ?

3. Is E equivalent to F (i.e., do they denote the same language)?

### PROOF:

- 1. This follows from our previous theorem.
- 2.  $L_A = L_B \iff (L_A \cap \overline{L_B}) \cup (L_B \cap \overline{L_A}) = \emptyset.$
- 3. For each regular expression, construct an equivalent FA , using Kleene's theorem. Use part 2 above to see if these 2 FA accept the same language.

## FINITENESS

**THEOREM:** Let R be a regular expression.  $|L(R)| = \infty \iff R$  has a Kleene star that applies to something other than  $\Lambda$ .

### **PROOF:**

- 1. If R has no Kleene star operator, it denotes a finite set.
- 2.  $\Lambda^* = \Lambda$ .
- 3. If the Kleene star operator is applied to something not equivalent to  $\Lambda$ , the resulting set is infinite.

THEOREM: Let A be an FA with n states.

$$L(A) = \infty \iff \exists w \in L(A), n \le |w| < 2n.$$

#### **PROOF:**

- 1. If  $\exists w \in L(A), n \leq |w| < 2n$ , then  $L(A) = \infty$ . This follows from the pumping lemma: If there is any word w,  $|w| \geq n$ , then w = xyz, such that  $xy^k z \in L(A), \forall k > 0$ .
- 2. If  $L(A) = \infty$  then  $\exists w \in L(A), n \le |w| < 2n$ .
  - (a) By the pumping lemma,  $\exists w \in L(A), w = xyz$ , and  $|xy| \leq n$ .
  - (b) Thus,  $|y| \leq n$ .
  - (c) Assume without loss of generality that the part of the accepting path associated with x and z do not contain any loops.
  - (d)  $xz \in L(A)$ , and |xz| < n.
  - (e) Let k be the *smallest* exponent that makes  $|xy^k z| \ge n$ . Then,  $|xy^k z| < 2n$ .

THEOREM: Given FA A, the question "Is  $L(A) = \infty$ ?" is decidable. PROOF:

- 1. Check each word  $w, n \leq |w| < 2n$ .
- 2. If any are accepted,  $L(A) = \infty$ ; otherwise,  $L(A) < \infty$ .

When you study DFS, you should conceive of faster ways to test for finiteness.

However, the proof above, requires only that:

- you know how many states the FA has;
- you know if  $w \in L(A)$ , for any word w.

You do not need to be able to *examine* the FA.