

Iterators – basic list example

- **current** – refers to most recently accessed item
 - To manipulate other parts of list (not just first, last)
 - Points to NULL if list is empty
 - Note: item just before a deleted item is the most recently accessed (to set link to NULL)
- User needs way to iterate through list items

```
void advanceCurrent(ListPointer);
```
- And a way to reset current to first item again

```
void resetCurrent(ListPointer);
```
- And best have way to ask if at end of list or not

```
int hasMoreInfo(ListPointer);
```

Basic list trade-offs

- Abstraction sacrifices efficiency
 - Function calls instead of direct node access
- User has to deal with `void *` pointers
 - Easy for insert operations – any pointer is “promoted”
 - But must cast to true pointer type on return

```
printf("%s", (char *)firstInfo(list));
```
 - And must dereference to get to real data

```
printf("%d", *(int *)currentInfo(list));
```
- `void *` storage also inhibits some operations
 - No way for list module to search, or sort, etc., without knowing type – one complication can fix this though

What is a recursive function?

- Ans: a function that calls itself (maybe indirectly)
- Standard first example – factorial function:

$$n! = n * (n-1) * (n-2) * \dots * 1 \quad (\text{for } n > 0)$$

– Note *recursive* pattern:

$$n! = n * (n-1)! \quad (\text{for } n > 1, \text{ and } 1! = 1)$$

– Translates immediately to C:

```
int factorial(int n) {  
    if (n<=1)  
        return 1;  
    else  
        return n*factorial(n-1);  
}
```

Recursive solution essentials

- Always need a base case
 - a.k.a. trivial case, or smallest case
 - A way to stop; otherwise **infinite recursion**
 - e.g., `if (n<=1)` in factorial method
- Recursive calls converge on base case
 - i.e., problems get smaller with each recursion
 - e.g., `factorial(n-1)`
- Solution must actually solve the problem!
 - This part is most important, and the hardest to insure

Recursive Drawing Example

- Handy for some non-numerical problems too
- Drawing tick marks on a ruler:
 - base case: draw nothing (tick too small)
 - general case: draw middle tick, then draw left and right “sub-rulers” (with smaller ticks)

```
void ruler(int left, int right, int tickHeight) {  
    if (not done yet) { /* pseudocode */  
        int middle = (left + right) / 2;  
        draw_tick(middle, tickHeight);  
        ruler(left, middle, tickHeight / 2);  
        ruler(middle, right, tickHeight / 2);  
    }  
}
```

Recursive list printing

- Because a list is a recursive data structure
 - Idea: print info, then call function for next node (as long as there are nodes left to print)

- Simple change prints in reverse!

```
void printReverse(NodePointer n) {  
    if (n->link != NULL)  
        printReverse(n->link);  
    printf("%s ", n->info);  
}
```

- Q: how to print opening/closing parentheses?
 - One answer: use recursive [auxiliary function](#)

Towers of Hanoi

(demo)

- Some solutions are especially surprising
- Move n disks from a to c ; use b to hold

- Base case: just one disk – trivial

```
if (n==1) moveOneDisk(a→c);
```

- General case: *assume* there is a function that can move a tower of height $n-1$. This function!!!

```
else {  
    tower(size n-1, a→b with c holding);  
    moveOneDisk(a→c);  
    tower(size n-1, b→c with a holding);  
}
```

- Iterative solution much more difficult in this case

Top-down programming by stepwise refinement

- Typical top-level algorithm has 3 main steps:
 1. Get data
 2. Process data
 3. Show results
 - Applies to whole program, and most functions
 - For functions, get-data step usually done by parameter list, and show-results step usually done by return
- Idea is to start with top-level, then refine steps
 - e.g., steps 2.1, 2.2, ... refined step 2
 - Later refinements – step 2.2.1, 2.2.2, ...
 - And so on until algorithm is complete

Functions carry out the steps

- Top down programming boils down to:
 - Write the necessary sequence of steps as function calls
 - Then write the functions
 - May involve writing deeper sequences of function calls
 - So write those additional functions
 - And so on ...
- Concept is called **algorithm abstraction**
 - Motivation is to manage complexity
 - Don't have to consider all the details all at once
 - Solve overall problem – then sub-problems as encountered
 - Even more powerful combined with **data abstraction**

Choosing data structures: key part of devising a solution

- e.g., text section 5.2, lottery ticket example
 - Top-level: (1) get 6 amounts, (2) process, (3) print amount won.
- One solution – use a **Table** to track repetitions –
 - Refine step 2 – make Table with 1 row for each different amount, and 2 columns with the amount and repetitions
 - Further: use **array of struct**{amount, reps} to represent Table
 - Refine step 3 – print 0 or highest amount that has 3+ reps
- Another solution – sort **array** of amounts –
 - Refine step 2 – sort the 6 amounts into descending order
 - Refine step 3 – print first amount that is repeated 3 times

Testing

- Goal is to **find faults**
- Faults (a.k.a. bugs) cause systems to fail
 - e.g., a system crashes – the most obvious type of fault
 - e.g., a security system that allows unauthorized entry
 - e.g., a shot-down video game plane continues on path
- Can verify the presence of bugs, not their absence
 - Testing fails if no bugs are found! (a good thing really)
- Testing and debugging are separate processes
 - Testing identifies; debugging corrects/removes faults

Testing steps

- **Unit tests** – insure each part is correct
 - Independently test each function in each file
- **Integration tests** – insure parts work together
 - Test functions working together; not whole system yet
- **System tests** – insure system does what it is supposed to do
- More testing to do – especially for large systems
 - Includes *functional* tests, *performance* tests, *acceptance* tests, and *installation* tests

Testing approaches

- **Black box** testing – best by independent tester
 - Plan good **test cases**, and conduct *automated* tests
- **Open box** testing – a separate, preliminary activity
 - “Coverage testing” is the goal
 - i.e., test every line of code at least once
 - Includes unit testing and integration testing
- **Regression** testing – repeat tests frequently
 - Because fixing a new bug may re-introduce old ones
 - Easy to do with automated testing framework
 - i.e., special purpose programs and data files like assignment 3

Test plans

- Test a *representative sample* of normal cases
 - Usually no way to test all possibilities
 - But don't really need to – random sample of cases okay
 - At least be sure to test all normal operations
 - e.g., insert first, delete last, show current ...
- Test *boundary cases*
 - Test the extremes – includes empty cases, lone cases, last case, first case, ..., any other “edge” cases
 - e.g., delete from lists with 0, 1, and 2 items
- Test *error cases* too
 - e.g., test how bad input is handled – should not crash!

Assertions

- Are conditions that should *all* be true for the program to be considered **correct**
 - Can be used for testing correctness with logical rules
 - Can also be tested automatically in many cases
- Most important types of assertions:
 - Function “contract” clauses
 - **Pre-conditions** – must be true on function entry
 - **Post-conditions** – must be true on function exit, *if the pre-conditions were true beforehand*
 - Both sets of conditions should be made clear to users (usually as comments in header files)
 - **Loop invariants** – must be true on each iteration

Executable assertions

- Can verify correctness automatically
 - e.g., pre-condition of `inverse(x)` is that `x` is not zero
 - Let assertion check automatically
 - Must `#include assert.h`:

```
double inverse(int x) {  
    assert(x != 0); /* halts with message if x == 0 */  
    return 1. / x; /* better than crashing here */  
}
```
- If pre-condition fails, it's the user's fault
 - Function doesn't know what to do, so no use for `if ...`
 - i.e., `if (x == 0) what now?` – no good answer, really

More assertions

- Can also use assert to check post-conditions
 - Should verify key effects if a function is complex
 - In this case, errors are the fault of the implementation!
- Asserting loop invariants is useful for debugging
- Q. Why assert to check your own code?
 - Answer: catch bugs early and effectively
 - Bugs appear as soon as testing begins
 - Also know where bug occurred, and maybe where to fix it
- Note: use assert as a development tool ONLY
 - Easy to turn off all assertions for final product
 - `#define NDEBUG` before `#include <assert.h>`