On to OO design ideas

Really just an introduction (much more in CS 48)

About “programming in the large”

BTW: Assuming no need to lecture about Abstraction chapter of Reading #2. Right?
Small vs. large programs

- **Programming in the small:**
  - Usually just one programmer
  - He/she understands everything from top to bottom
  - Major problems are in the development of algorithms

- **Programming in the large:**
  - System is developed by large team(s) of programmers
  - Major problems are in the management of details
  - Communication is vital – between programmers, and between their respective software subsystems
Basis for Design (early stages)

- Q. What aspects of a problem are known first?
  a) Data structures
  b) Functions
  c) Formal specifications
  d) Behavior

- A design technique based on *behavior* can be applied from the very beginning of a problem
  - Other aspects (the structural properties) necessarily require more preliminary analysis
Responsibility-Driven Design

- “Understanding responsibilities is key to good object-oriented design” (Martin Fowler)
- RDD concept: some object (and thus some class) must be responsible for every task that has to be accomplished by the system
- RDD is an Agile design technique
  - Accounts for ambiguous and incomplete specifications
  - Naturally flows from Analysis to Solution.
  - Easily integrates with various aspects of software development
Example: designing the Intelligent Interactive Kitchen Helper (IIKH)

- Imagine the boss rushes in with his specifications for your team’s next project … carefully drawn on a napkin
- Briefly: the system is intended to replace that box of index cards of recipes in many kitchens
RDD activities – focus on behavior

- First identify and describe the behavior of the entire application
  - What the system must *do*
  - In what ways the system will interact with actors (users, other systems, …)
- Refine this overall behavior into behavioral descriptions for subsystems
- Translate the behavior descriptions into code
IIKH system behavior

- Browse a database of recipes
- Add a new recipe to the database
- Edit or annotate an existing recipe
- Plan a meal consisting of several courses
- Scale a recipe for some number of users
- Plan a longer period, say a week
- Generate a grocery list that includes all the items in all the menus for a period
Describing use cases

- Idea: Pretend we already had a working application - walk through the various uses of the system
- Use Case vs. Scenario:
  - A scenario is a specific use case instance
- Goal is to make sure we have uncovered all the intended uses of the system
- Also helps establish and comprehend the “look and feel” of the system

IIKH use cases?
Software components

- A software *component* is simply an abstract design entity with which we can associate responsibilities for different tasks
- May eventually be turned into a class, a function, a module, or something else
- Design principles:
  - A component must have a small, well-defined set of responsibilities
  - A component should interact with other components to the minimal extent possible
CRC cards

- Records name, responsibilities, and collaborators of a component
- Inexpensive
- Erasable
- Physical

What good are they?
Identifying components

- With OOP, mostly asking “What types of objects will make up the system?”
- Carefully study the problem (especially requirements and use cases) to find out
  - Candidate classes: *nouns* in the problem
    - Some are data – will be treated as class attributes
    - Most are participants in the solution – agents!
  - Operations: *verbs* in the problem
Component identification in RDD

- As we walk through scenarios, we go through cycles of identifying a what, followed by a who
  - *What* action needs to be performed at this moment?
  - *Who* is the component that is charged with performing the action?
- Every *what* must have a *who*, otherwise it simply will not happen.
- Postpone decisions about specific GUI details, algorithms, ... – keep to *major* responsibilities
Identifying IIKH components

- The analysis team (author Budd …) decides the major responsibilities divide naturally into two groups
  - Recipe database – browsing, reviewing/editing recipes
  - Menu plans – creating/reviewing plans for meals
- Team also decides to include a component called a Greeter to present an attractive window, and allows the user to select from the various choices
  - Idea is that this component will pass on tasks to either a recipe database object or a menu planner object
Assigning responsibilities: Greeter

- **Operations?**
  - Greet user
  - Offer choices
  - Pass control

- **Data?**

- **Collaborators?**
  - Recipe Database
  - Planner

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Greeter</th>
<th>Collaborators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Display Informative Initial Message</td>
<td>Database Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer User Choice of Options</td>
<td>Plan Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass Control to either</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipe Database Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan Manager for processing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recipe Database responsibilities

- Major responsibilities:
  - maintain the database of recipes
  - allow user to browse the database
  - permit user to edit or annotate existing recipes
  - permit the user to add a new recipe

- Who should be in charge of editing a recipe?
  - Clearly a job for a Recipe class. Okay add one!
  - Recipe becomes a collaborator of Recipe Database

- Postpone decisions about how user interacts, how to store recipes, and other implementation details
Responsibilities of a Recipe

- **Data:** maintain list of ingredients and transformation algorithm
- **Methods:**
  - Ways to access and edit these data values
  - Maybe ways to display/print itself
  - Consider adding other actions later (ability to scale itself, integrate ingredients into a grocery list, and so on)
- **Collaborators?**
Meal planning sub-system

- **Planner responsibilities:**
  - Maintains a sequence of dates (for the user to plan)
    - Suggests collaboration with a *Date* object.
  - Let user select sequence of dates for planning
  - Let user create a plan or edit an existing plan

- **Date responsibilities:**
  - Holds a sequence of meals for a given date
    - Hmmm … probably will need *Meal* objects too!
  - Let user edit specific meals, annotate dates, print out grocery list for entire set of meals

- **Meal responsibilities** – data/operations for one meal
IIKH class associations

- Greeter uses 1 Plan Manager and 1 Recipe Database
- Recipe Database uses Meal and Recipe objects
- Plan Manager uses Date objects
- Date objects use Meal objects
Modeling interactions

- Design how objects send messages to other objects while fulfilling their responsibilities
- Show messages in an interaction diagram
Behavior and state revisited

- All components are characterized by two aspects:
  - Behavior – the set of actions a component can do
  - State – all the information (data) a component holds
- Btw: it is common for behavior to change state
  - e.g., edit recipe → change preparation instructions
- Similarly: state will very likely affect behavior
Two important design principles

- The separation of tasks into the domains of different components should be guided by the concepts of **coupling** and **cohesion**
- Cohesion is the degree to which the tasks assigned to a component seem to form a meaningful unit – should *maximize cohesion*
- Coupling is the degree to which the ability to fulfill responsibilities depends on the actions of other components – should *minimize coupling*
Interface vs. implementation

- Two views:
  - Client: public
  - Developer: private

- David Parnas:
  - The developer of a software component must provide the intended user with all the information needed to make effective use of the services provided by the component, and should provide no other information.
Formalize component interfaces

- Names are given to each of the responsibilities – eventually probably mapped to procedure names
- Identify the general structure of each component
  - Information is assigned to each component and all information is accounted for
  - Components with only one behavior and no state to maintain may be made into functions
- Components with many behaviors are more properly implemented as classes
- Replay scenarios to ensure all data are available and all responsibilities are assigned
Selecting names is important

- Names should be evocative in the context of the problem – meaningful even to non-programmers
  - Nouns for classes, modules, variables
  - Verbs for operations
- Names should be short
- Names should be pronounceable (read out load)
- Names should be consistent within the project
  - Most critical for public parts though
- Avoid digits within a name – easy to misread
Detour back to shell – scripts

In preparation for this week’s lab

Not covered in Reader (#1 just mentions)

Later: More OO design - classes.
Bourne shell programs

- Are text files with `sh` commands – e.g., `myScript`
  - To execute, can do `sh myScript`
    - The program runs in a new shell – called a *child shell*
  - Or `chmod u+x myScript` – *then just ./myScript*
    - Requires that `sh` is the default shell (usually `bash` okay too)

- `#` – normally identifies a comment
  - Special case if line 1 – `#!/bin/sh` – identifies shell
    - Means use `sh` as child shell for this script – works in all shells

- Can access command line arguments: `$1` to `$#`
  - e.g., `cp $1 $2` # copies first to second (if files)
  - e.g., `echo $#` # prints number of arguments
sh variables and assignment

- name="Jack Sprat" # note no spaces
- echo "The name is $name" # need ‘$’
- workdir=`pwd` # use `...` to assign result of ...
  - Similarly, echo "date and time is `date`"
- Can read from standard input and calculate too
  - echo "enter value"
  - read val
  - doubleval=`expr $val + $val`
    - Or just: echo "doubled: `expr $val + $val`"
sh control structures, and FYI$s$

- An *if-then-elif-else-fi* statement
  - Expression is a test: `test $# -gt 0`
  - Or simpler: `[ $# -gt 0 ]` # spaces mandatory
  - Can test files too: `-d, -f, -e, -r, -w, -x, …`
- A *while-do-done* statement: same expressions
- A *for-do-done* statement: `for variable in list`
  - List is command line arguments if not specified
- Examples at `~mikec/cs32/demo/scripts/`
- FYI: can program *any* shell, but different syntax
  - And other “scripting languages” (e.g., Perl, Python, …)