

## VISIBILITY GRAPHS OF STAIRCASE POLYGONS WITH UNIFORM STEP LENGTH

JAMES ABELLO

*Department of Computer Science, Texas A&M University  
College Station, TX 77843, USA*

and

ÖMER EĞECİOĞLU

*Department of Computer Science, University of California Santa Barbara  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA*

Received (received date)

Revised (revised date)

Communicated by Editor's name

### ABSTRACT

Let  $\Gamma_n$  denote the collection of visibility graphs of staircase polygons (orthogonal convex fans) which consist of  $n - 1$  horizontal steps of arbitrary lengths. We show that for  $n \geq 7$  there are graphs in  $\Gamma_n$  which cannot be realized as the visibility graphs of staircase polygons with uniform step length.

*Keywords:* Visibility graph, staircase polygon, orthogonal convex fan, uniform step length.

### 1. Introduction

O'Rourke<sup>9</sup> remarks that “some of the fundamental unsolved problems involving visibility in computational geometry will not be solved until the combinatorial structure of visibility is more fully understood”. In this regard, one of the central open questions is that of characterizing visibility graphs of various classes of polygons (ElGindy<sup>4</sup>, Ghosh<sup>7</sup>, O'Rourke<sup>10</sup>).

At the time of this writing, there is no polynomial algorithm known for the solution of the recognition problem for visibility graphs, i.e. to decide whether or not a given graph is the visibility graph of some polygon. Nor is this problem known to be NP-hard. In fact, it is not even known if the recognition problem is in class NP. At this point we only have Everett's<sup>5</sup> result that the recognition problem is in PSPACE. Given this state of affairs, current results have involved restricting the class of polygons (Everett and Corneil<sup>6</sup>, Abello, Egecioğlu, and Kumar<sup>1</sup>), or restricting the class of graphs (ElGindy<sup>4</sup>), or adding some extra information to the graph (Ghosh<sup>7</sup>, O'Rourke<sup>10</sup>).

In this paper, we answer a question suggested by the discussion in O’Rourke [9, p.171] about visibility graphs of staircase polygons (orthogonal convex fans) with uniform step length. Namely, we show that this class is a proper subclass of the class of visibility graphs of general staircase polygons. We obtain this result by first noticing that the requirement of uniform step length makes the visibility problem amenable to a linear programming formulation (section 3) and then show that a certain system of linear inequalities is infeasible (section 4). In the last section of the paper (section 5) we elaborate on how we came about the counterexample and we discuss also some of the implications of the linear programming approach.

## 2. Visibility Graphs and Staircase Polygons

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph with vertex set  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and edge set  $E$ . Since the adjacency matrix  $A_G$  of  $G$  is symmetric with zero diagonal,  $G$  is completely characterized by the array  $L_G$  of the subdiagonal entries of  $A_G$ . To simplify our exposition here, we shall refer to  $L_G$  as the *matrix* of  $G$  (see Figure 3). We shall denote by  $L_G(i, j)$  the entry in *column*  $i$  and *row*  $j$  of  $L_G$ . Note that in this setting, the columns and the rows of  $L_G$  are indexed by  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  from left to right and  $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$  from top to bottom, respectively.

The notion of visibility that we deal with is closely related to the “clear visibility” of Breen <sup>2</sup>. It differs from the generally used notion in that we do not allow visibility lines to go through intermediate vertices. More specifically, given a polygon  $P$  in the plane, we say that two of its vertices  $i$  and  $j$  are *visible* or *see* each other if the line segment joining  $i$  and  $j$  is a boundary segment of  $P$ , or it is completely contained in the interior of  $P$ . We use this non-standard qualification since we believe that the essential questions regarding visibility graphs remain unchanged when we adhere to this notion.

The *visibility graph* of  $P$  is then the graph

$$VG(P) = ( \text{Vertices of } P, \{(i, j) \mid i \text{ sees } j\} ).$$

Consider a monotone non-increasing path  $\pi$  consisting of horizontal and vertical line segments that connects a point  $p$  on the positive  $y$ -axis to a point  $q$  on the positive  $x$ -axis. If  $\pi$  consists of a finite number of line segments, then it is called a *staircase path*. A *staircase polygon* or an *orthogonal convex fan*  $S$  is a staircase path  $\pi$  together with the portion from the origin to  $p$  of the  $y$ -axis, and the portion from the origin to  $q$  of the  $x$ -axis. If we assume that the horizontal segments of  $\pi$  have lengths  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$  from left to right, and the vertical segments have lengths  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1})$  from top to bottom, then  $S$  is called a staircase polygon of order  $n$ . Thus a staircase polygon of order  $n$  is completely determined by a pair of positive vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}^{n-1}$  with  $p = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i$ , and  $q = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i$ . When  $S$  has order  $n$ ,  $VG(S)$  is a Hamiltonian graph on  $2n$  vertices.

Given a staircase polygon of order  $n$ , let  $u_0$  denote the origin, and label the  $2n - 1$  vertices on  $\pi$  from  $v_1 = (0, p)$  to  $v_n = (q, 0)$  in a clockwise fashion by

$$v_1, u_1, v_2, u_2, \dots, v_i, u_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, u_{n-1}, v_n, \quad (1)$$

as shown on the staircase polygon in Figure 1.

Figure 1: A staircase polygon.

Some elementary properties of the visibility graphs of staircase polygons are given in the following proposition:

**Proposition 1** *Suppose  $G = VG(S)$  is the visibility graph of a staircase polygon  $S$  of order  $n > 3$ . Then*

1.  $u_0$  is the only vertex of  $G$  of degree  $2n - 1$ ,
2. In  $G \setminus u_0$ , the neighborhood of  $u_i$  consists of  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ,
3. In  $G \setminus u_0$ , the neighborhood of  $v_i$  contains the vertices  $\{v_{i-1}, u_{i-1}, u_i, v_{i+1}\}$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ . Furthermore  $u_{i-1}$  and  $u_i$  are the only  $u_j$ 's adjacent to  $v_i$ ,
4. In  $G \setminus u_0$ , the vertices  $\{u_1, v_2\}$  and  $\{u_{n-1}, v_n\}$  are contained in the neighborhoods of  $v_1$  and  $v_n$ , respectively. Furthermore,  $u_1$  is the only  $u_j$  adjacent to  $v_1$  and  $u_k$  is the only  $u_j$  incident to  $v_n$ .

**Proof.** Omitted.  $\square$

The subgraph  $G(S)$  of  $VG(S)$  induced by the vertices  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  will be called the *staircase subgraph* of  $VG(S)$ . More generally, a given labeled graph on  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is called a *staircase graph* if  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_n$  is a Hamiltonian path in  $G$ , and  $G$  is isomorphic to  $G(S)$  for some staircase polygon  $S$  in which the labeling of the vertices of the staircase path  $\pi$  has the ordering in 1. We let  $\Gamma_n$  denote the collection of staircase graphs on  $n$  vertices. Note that the graphs in  $\Gamma_n$  are labeled.

The study of  $VG(S)$  is equivalent to the study of  $G(S)$  in the following sense: from a given unlabeled graph  $G = (V, E)$  which is isomorphic to some  $VG(S)$ , the

staircase subgraph  $G(S)$  can unambiguously be constructed modulo renaming of the axes.

**Proposition 2** *Suppose  $G = (V, E)$  is isomorphic to some  $VG(S)$ . Then the staircase subgraph  $G(S)$  of  $VG(S)$  can be found with  $O(|V| + |E|)$  operations.*

**Proof.** We have that  $|V| = 2n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Without loss of generality assume  $n > 3$ . Locate the unique vertex  $u_0$  of  $G$  of degree  $2n - 1$  and construct the graph  $G \setminus u_0$ . Partition the vertex set of  $G \setminus u_0$  into two parts  $U_1$  and  $V_1$  where  $U_1$  consists of all vertices in  $G \setminus u_0$  of degree exactly two, and  $V_1$  consists of all vertices of degree larger than two. There are three cases to consider according to if  $|U_1| = n - 1, n$ , or  $n + 1$ .

If  $|U_1| = n - 1$ , then  $U_1$  actually consists of the vertices  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}$  in some order. By part 4 of Proposition 1, there exists exactly two vertices in  $V_1$  each of which is adjacent to only one vertex in  $U_1$ . We may take  $v_1$  to be one of these vertices. Assume  $v_1$  is adjacent to  $u_1 \in U_1$ . Next, let  $v_2$  be the common neighbor of  $v_1$  and  $u_1$ . By part 2 of Proposition 1,  $v_2$  is adjacent to a vertex other than  $u_1$  in  $U_1$ . Call this vertex  $u_2$ . Now the common neighbor of  $v_2$  and  $u_2$  gives  $v_3$ . Continuing in this manner, the vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  can be identified *in order*. Note that the ordering  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is unique up to an isomorphism exchanging  $v_i$  and  $v_{n+1-i}$ .

Now assume  $|U_1| = n$ . By parts 3 and 4 of Proposition 1,  $U_1$  consists of  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}$  in some order, together with one of  $v_1$  or  $v_n$ , say

$$U_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}, v_1\} \ .$$

Furthermore, by part 4 of Proposition 1,  $v_1$  is adjacent to exactly one vertex in  $U_1$ , which we take to be  $u_1$ . Note that in this case  $v_1$  and  $u_1$  are indistinguishable. The identification of  $v_2$  through  $v_n$  can now be carried out exactly as above.

In case  $|U_1| = n + 1$ , we can similarly identify the pairs  $\{v_1, u_1\}$ , and  $\{v_n, u_{n-1}\}$ , as these two vertices  $v_1, v_n \in U_1$  are adjacent to single vertices in  $U_1$ , which we take to be  $u_1$  and  $u_{n-1}$  respectively. We may then follow the steps of the case  $|U_1| = n - 1$  above to identify the vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  of  $G(S)$ .

In any case, the above procedure returns the induced subgraph  $G(S)$  on the vertices  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ .

We omit the proof that the above algorithm can be implemented in linear time.

□

Note that from the three cases of Proposition 2 it follows that the automorphism group of  $VG(S)$  for a staircase polygon of order  $n > 3$  is one of  $\mathcal{Z}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_2 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ , or  $\mathcal{Z}_2 \times \mathcal{Z}_2 \times \mathcal{Z}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}_2$  is the cyclic group of two elements.

### 3. Encoding of Staircase Graphs

We first provide a coordinate free combinatorial construction to encode staircase graphs. Given a staircase polygon  $S$  defined by  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} > 0$ , let  $G = G(S)$ . For notational convenience, denote the vertex  $v_i$  simply by  $i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . For  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  let  $s_{ij}$  denote the negative of the slope of the line segment through

the vertices  $i$  and  $j$ . Thus

$$s_{ij} = \frac{y_i + y_{i+1} + \dots + y_{j-1}}{x_i + x_{i+1} + \dots + x_{j-1}}. \quad (2)$$

Suppose we arrange the slopes  $s_{ij}$  in the form of a triangular array as shown in Figure 2. Vertex  $i$  is visible from vertex  $j$  in  $S$  if and only if  $s_{ik} < s_{ij}$  for every

Figure 2: Triangular array of slopes for the polygon in Figure 1.

vertex  $k$  with  $i < k < j$ . In other words  $i$  sees  $j$  if and only if the entry in column  $i$  and row  $j$  of this array is strictly larger than all of the entries above it in the same column.

Thus the matrix of the staircase graph  $G$  is obtained from this array of slopes after replacing  $s_{ij}$  by 1 if  $s_{ik} < s_{ij}$  for every  $i < k < j$ , and replacing  $s_{ij}$  by 0 otherwise (see Figure 2). Note that we automatically have  $L_G(i, i+1) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ .

Suppose now we are given a triangular array  $L_G$  corresponding to an arbitrary undirected graph  $G$  in which  $L_G(i, i+1) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ . Let  $M_s$  denote the collection of strict inequalities

$$s_{ik} - s_{ij} < 0 \quad (3)$$

for  $i < k < j$  in the components of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , obtained from entries  $L_G(i, j) = 1$  in  $L_G$ . For every  $L_G(i, j) = 0$ , let  $k$  be the largest index in the range  $i < k < j$  for which  $L_G(i, k) = 1$ . Note that such an index  $k$  always exists since  $L_G(i, i+1) = 1$  for every  $i$ . Geometrically  $k$  corresponds to the first vertex counterclockwise of vertex  $j$  that sees vertex  $i$ . Set

$$s_{ij} - s_{ik} \leq 0. \quad (4)$$

Denote by  $M_w$  the set of weak inequalities of the form 4, each obtained from a zero entry of  $L_G$ .  $G$  is a staircase graph if and only if the nonlinear system of inequalities  $M_s \cup M_w$  has a feasible solution  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}^{n-1}$ ;  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} > \mathbf{0}$ .

Figure 3: The matrix  $L_G$ .

Suppose now that there is a realization of a staircase graph  $G$  in which the step lengths  $x_i$  are uniform. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $G$  has a geometric realization in which  $\mathbf{x} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Then in such a realization,  $x_i + x_{i+1} + \dots + x_{j-1} = j - i$ , and from 3 and 4 it follows that  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}$  must satisfy  $|M_s| = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (j - i - 1)L_G(i, j)$  strict inequalities of the form

$$(j - k) [y_i + y_{i+1} + \dots + y_{k-1}] - (k - i) [y_k + y_{k+1} + \dots + y_{j-1}] < 0, \quad (5)$$

and  $|M_w| = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - L_G(i, j))$  weak inequalities of the form

$$(k - j) [y_i + y_{i+1} + \dots + y_{k-1}] + (k - i) [y_k + y_{k+1} + \dots + y_{j-1}] \leq 0, \quad (6)$$

which are obtained from 2, 3, and 4 after regrouping various terms.

Thus theoretically, we can check whether or not a given undirected, unlabeled graph  $H$  is isomorphic to  $VG(S)$  for some staircase polygon  $S$  with uniform step length by going through the following steps. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $H$  has at least 8 vertices.

1. If  $H$  has  $2n$  vertices for some  $n$  and the procedure in Proposition 2 returns  $G = G(S)$  on the vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ , then go to Step 2. Else, if any step of the procedure in Proposition 2 fails, then  $H$  is not isomorphic to  $VG(S)$  for any staircase polygon  $S$ .
2. Construct the matrix  $L_G$  using the ordering  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_n$  produced in Step 1. Go to Step 3.
3. Check whether or not the feasibility problem which consists of  $\sum_{i < j} (j - i - 1)L_G(i, j)$  strict inequalities and  $\sum_{i < j} (1 - L_G(i, j))$  weak inequalities that are obtained from the entries in  $L_G$  has a solution.  $H$  is isomorphic to the visibility graph of a staircase polygon with uniform step lengths if and only if this feasibility problem has a solution.

#### 4. A Negative Result

**Theorem 1** *The visibility graph of the staircase polygon in Figure 1 cannot be realized with uniform step length.*

**Proof.** To prove the theorem we shall show that the feasibility problem arising from the staircase graph  $G$  given in Figure 3 does not have a solution. For this particular  $G$ ,  $|M_s| = 25$  and  $|M_w| = 6$ . The resulting  $|M_s| + |M_w| = 31$  linear constraints that are obtained from 3 and 4 above are depicted in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Inequalities for the graph  $G$  of Figure 3.

In Figure 4, the numerical label in parentheses indicates the column from which the corresponding inequality arises.

Consider the six linear forms  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_6$  that are marked in Figure 4. These

satisfy the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= 2y_1 - y_2 - y_3 < 0 \\
E_2 &= y_1 + y_2 + y_3 - 3y_4 < 0 \\
E_3 &= 3y_3 - y_4 - y_5 - y_6 < 0 \\
E_4 &= -y_1 + y_2 \leq 0 \\
E_5 &= -2y_2 - 2y_3 + 2y_4 + 2y_5 \leq 0 \\
E_6 &= -y_5 + y_6 \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

We find that

$$4E_1 + E_2 + 3E_3 + 9E_4 + 3E_5 + 3E_6 = 0$$

and therefore we obtain the inequality  $0 < 0$ . In particular the set of inequalities in Figure 4 has no feasible solution  $\mathbf{y}$ . Consequently, the visibility graph of the staircase polygon in Figure 1 cannot be realized by a staircase polygon with unit horizontal steps.  $\square$

## 5. Conclusion and Remarks

In this section we elaborate on how we came about the counterexample of Figure 3, and some of the possible consequences of the linear programming approach.

First of all, a given staircase graph  $G$  is realizable by a staircase polygon with unit horizontal steps if and only if the system of  $|M_s| + |M_w|$  inequalities (such as the system in Figure 4) arising from its matrix has a feasible solution. Using standard linear programming techniques (see for example Chvátal<sup>3</sup>, Luenberger<sup>8</sup>, or Schrijver<sup>11</sup>), we add  $|M_s|$  slack variables to obtain an augmented matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  from this system. After that, instead of solving the feasibility problem  $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{y} > \mathbf{0}$ , we consider the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{P}) : \quad & \text{maximize } \rho \\
& \text{s.t. } \mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{0}, \\
& \quad \mathbf{y} \geq \rho \mathbf{e}, \\
& \quad \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho$  is a new variable and  $\mathbf{e}$  is the  $|M_s| + |M_w|$  dimensional vector of ones. With this formulation the optimal value of (P) is 0 if and only if there exists no  $\mathbf{y} > \mathbf{0}$  satisfying  $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{0}$ . Otherwise the optimal value is unbounded.

Now consider a staircase graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  in which  $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n$  is a Hamiltonian path. Suppose we have three vertices  $i < j < k$  such that  $i$  sees both  $j$  and  $k$ , but does not see any vertex  $y$  with  $j < y < k$ . Then

1. No vertex  $x$  with  $i < x < j$  sees any vertex  $y$  with  $j < y < k$ ,
2. Vertex  $j$  sees vertex  $k$ .

This property of staircase graphs are depicted in Figure 5.

On the matrix  $L_G$  of  $G$  these correspond to the following property

Figure 5: Geometric persistence.

Given  $i < j < k$ , if  $L_G(i, j) = L_G(i, k) = 1$  and  $L_G(i, y) = 0$  for every  $y$  in the range  $j < y < k$ , then

1.  $L_G(x, y) = 0$  for every  $x$  with  $i < x < j$ , and every  $y$  with  $j < y < k$ ,
2.  $L_G(j, k) = 1$ .

A matrix  $L_G$  with  $L_G(i, i + 1) = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , which satisfies these two properties for every triple  $i < j < k$  is called *persistent*. Persistency is depicted graphically in Figure 6. As an example, the matrix  $L_G$  depicted in Figure 3 is persistent. In fact by our arguments above,  $L_G$  is persistent for every staircase graph  $G$ .

Let  $p_n$  and  $s_n = |\Gamma_n|$  denote the number of persistent matrices of size  $n$  and the number of staircase graphs on  $n$  vertices, respectively. Since persistency of  $L_G$  is a necessary condition for staircase graphs,  $s_n \leq p_n$  for all  $n$ . Using the simple condition for persistency, we can computer-generate all persistent 0-1 matrices for small values of  $n$ . We find that  $p_1 = p_2 = 1$ ,  $p_3 = 2$ ,  $p_4 = 6$ ,  $p_5 = 25$ ,  $p_6 = 138$ , and  $p_7 = 972$ . In addition to generating persistent matrices, we have written code to generate the parameters of the linear programming problem (P) corresponding to every persistent matrix of size  $n \leq 7$ . When  $n$  is in this range, the optimal value of (P) found by the simplex method turns out to be unbounded for every LP problem generated, except for the persistent matrix  $L_G$  of our example in Figure 3. Therefore for  $n < 7$ , all staircase graphs are realizable as the visibility graphs of staircase polygons with unit horizontal steps. For  $n = 7$ ,  $L_G$  in Figure 3 turns out to be the *only* persistent matrix which is not the visibility graph of a staircase polygon with unit horizontal steps (i.e. 1 out of 972 LP problems solved). However,

Figure 6: Persistence.

this graph is the staircase graph corresponding to the polygon in Figure 1. Thus  $s_n = p_n$  for  $n \leq 7$ .

It can be proved that the persistency condition completely characterizes the class of staircase graphs. This and related results on recognition of visibility graphs of staircase polygons, and the combinatorics of staircase graphs can be found in Abello, Egecioglu, and Kumar <sup>1</sup>.

Now  $\Gamma_n$  is naturally embedded in  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  by mapping

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) &\rightarrow (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1) \\ (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) &\rightarrow (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is picked so that  $\epsilon < s_{ij}$  for every slope  $s_{ij}$  given by 2. Under this embedding, a staircase graph  $G = (V, E) \in \Gamma_n$  with  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is embedded in  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  as  $G' = (V', E')$  where  $V' = V \cup \{v_{n+1}\}$  and  $E' = E \cup \{(v_n, v_{n+1})\}$ . Thus the visibility graphs of staircase polygons for  $n \geq 7$  cannot in general be realized by staircase polygons with unit horizontal steps, since a copy of the smallest counterexample  $G$  lives in each  $\Gamma_n$  for  $n \geq 7$ .

However, our negative result does not provide a geometric intuition as to *why* uniform steps do not work for our particular example  $G$  of Figure 3. It would be desirable to characterize those staircase graphs realizable by uniform step lengths by a formulation that does not require linear programming, and possibly explaining whether or not  $G$  is in effect the only obstruction for non constructibility by uniform step lengths.

Linear programming formulation may prove useful for visibility properties of larger classes of polygons, and also in optimization of other interesting properties of staircase graphs in particular, and larger families of convex fans in general. For example, it is tempting to conjecture that *two* distinct horizontal step lengths should

allow for the representation of all visibility graphs of staircase polygons.

We note that a polynomial time solution to the recognition and reconstruction problem for visibility graphs of staircase polygons have recently been found (Abello, Egecioglu, and Kumar <sup>1</sup>). These problems for convex fans were previously explored by ElGindy <sup>4</sup> with inconclusive results.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof. Bahman Kalantari for his helpful suggestions concerning linear programming, Juan Aristizabal for his help in rechecking some matrix computations, and anonymous referees whose comments and suggestions were invaluable in improving the readability of this paper and shortening the original proof of Theorem 1.

This work was supported by NSF Grants No. DCR-8603722 and DCR-8896281.

## References

1. J. Abello, Ö. Egecioglu, and K. Kumar , “Recognizing Visibility Graphs of Staircase Polygons”, preprint, 1991.
2. M. Breen, “Clear Visibility and the Dimension of Kernels of Starshaped Sets,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **85** (1982) 414–418.
3. V. Chvátal, *Linear Programming*, (Freeman, New York, 1983).
4. H. ElGindy, *Hierarchical decomposition of polygons with applications*, Ph.D. Thesis, McGill University, 1985.
5. H. Everett, *Visibility Graph Recognition*, Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto, 1990.
6. H. Everett and D. G. Corneil, “Recognizing visibility graphs of spiral polygons,” *Journal of Algorithms*, **11** 1990.
7. S. K. Ghosh , “On recognizing and characterizing visibility graphs of simple polygons,” *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 318, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
8. D. G. Luenberger, *Linear and Nonlinear Programming*, Second Edition, (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1984).
9. J. O’Rourke, *Art Gallery Theorems and Algorithms*, (Oxford University Press, New York, 1987).
10. J. O’Rourke, “Recovery of Convexity from Visibility graphs,” preprint, 1990.
11. A. Schrijver, *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*, (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1986).