#### **Closest Pair Problem**

- Given *n* points in *d*-dimensions, find two whose mutual distance is smallest.
- Fundamental problem in many applications as well as a key step in many algorithms.



- A naive algorithm takes  $O(dn^2)$  time.
- Element uniqueness reduces to Closest Pair, so  $\Omega(n \log n)$  lower bound.
- We will develop a divide-and-conquer based  $O(n \log n)$  algorithm; dimension dassumed constant.

#### **1-Dimension Problem**

- 1D problem can be solved in  $O(n \log n)$  via sorting.
- Sorting, however, does not generalize to higher dimensions. So, let's develop a divide-and-conquer for 1D.
- Divide the points S into two sets  $S_1, S_2$  by some *x*-coordinate so that p < q for all  $p \in S_1$  and  $q \in S_2$ .
- Recursively compute closest pair  $(p_1, p_2)$  in  $S_1$  and  $(q_1, q_2)$  in  $S_2$ .



• Let  $\delta$  be the smallest separation found so far:

$$\delta = \min(|p_2 - p_1|, |q_2 - q_1|)$$

#### 1D Divide & Conquer



- The closest pair is  $\{p_1, p_2\}$ , or  $\{q_1, q_2\}$ , or some  $\{p_3, q_3\}$  where  $p_3 \in S_1$  and  $q_3 \in S_2$ .
- Key Observation: If m is the dividing coordinate, then  $p_3, q_3$  must be within  $\delta$  of m.
- In 1D,  $p_3$  must be the rightmost point of  $S_1$  and  $q_3$  the leftmost point of  $S_2$ , but these notions do not generalize to higher dimensions.
- How many points of  $S_1$  can lie in the interval  $(m \delta, m]$ ?
- By definition of  $\delta$ , at most one. Same holds for  $S_2$ .

#### 1D Divide & Conquer



- Closest-Pair (S).
- If |S| = 1, output  $\delta = \infty$ . If |S| = 2, output  $\delta = |p_2 - p_1|$ . Otherwise, do the following steps:
  - **1.** Let m = median(S).
  - **2.** Divide S into  $S_1, S_2$  at m.
  - 3.  $\delta_1 = \text{Closest-Pair}(S_1).$
  - 4.  $\delta_2 = \text{Closest-Pair}(S_2).$
  - 5.  $\delta_{12}$  is minimum distance across the cut.
  - 6. Return  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_{12})$ .
- Recurrence is T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n), which solves to  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$ .

#### 2-D Closest Pair

- We partition S into  $S_1, S_2$  by vertical line  $\ell$  defined by median *x*-coordinate in S.
- Recursively compute closest pair distances  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$ . Set  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ .
- Now compute the closest pair with one point each in  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .



• In each candidate pair (p,q), where  $p \in S_1$ and  $q \in S_2$ , the points p,q must both lie within  $\delta$  of  $\ell$ .

#### 2-D Closest Pair

 At this point, complications arise, which weren't present in 1D. It's entirely possible that all n/2 points of S<sub>1</sub> (and S<sub>2</sub>) lie within δ of l.



- Naively, this would require  $n^2/4$  calculations.
- We show that points in  $P_1, P_2$  ( $\delta$  strip around  $\ell$ ) have a special structure, and solve the conquer step faster.

#### **Conquer Step**

• Consider a point  $p \in S_1$ . All points of  $S_2$ within distance  $\delta$  of p must lie in a  $\delta \times 2\delta$ rectangle R.



- How many points can be inside R if each pair is at least  $\delta$  apart?
- In 2D, this number is at most 6!
- So, we only need to perform  $6 \times n/2$ distance comparisons!
- We don't have an  $O(n \log n)$  time algorithm yet. Why?

## **Conquer Step Pairs**

• In order to determine at most 6 potential mates of p, project p and all points of  $P_2$  onto line  $\ell$ .



- Pick out points whose projection is within  $\delta$  of p; at most six.
- We can do this for all p, by walking sorted lists of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , in total O(n) time.
- The sorted lists for  $P_1, P_2$  can be obtained from pre-sorting of  $S_1, S_2$ .
- Final recurrence is T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n), which solves to  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$ .

### *d*-Dimensional Closest Pair

- Two key features of the divide and conquer strategy are these:
  - 1. The step where subproblems are combined takes place in one lower dimension.
  - 2. The subproblems in the combine step satisfy a sparsity condition.
  - 3. Sparsity Condition: Any cube with side length  $2\delta$  contains O(1) points of S.
  - 4. Note that the original problem does not necessarily have this condition.



#### The Sparse Problem

- Given *n* points with  $\delta$ -sparsity condition, find all pairs within distance  $\leq \delta$ .
- Divide the set into  $S_1, S_2$  by a median place *H*. Recursively solve the problem in two halves.
- Project all points lying within  $\delta$  thick slab around H onto H. Call this set S'.
- S' inherits the  $\delta$ -sparsity condition. Why?.
- Recursively solve the problem for S' in d-1 space.
- The algorithms satisfies the recurrence

U(n,d) = 2U(n/2,d) + U(n,d-1) + O(n).

which solves to  $U(n,d) = O(n(\log n)^{d-1})$ .

## **Getting Sparsity**

- Recall that divide and conquer algorithm solves the left and right half problems recursively.
- The sparsity holds for the merge problem, which concerns points within  $\delta$  thick slab around H.



 If S is a set where inter-point distance is at least δ, then the δ-cube centered at p contains at most a constant number of points of S, depending on d.

### **Proof of Sparsity**

- Let C be the  $\delta$ -cube centered at p. Let L be the set of points in C.
- Imagine placing a ball of radius  $\delta/2$ around each point of L.
- No two balls can intersect. Why?
- The volume of cube C is  $(2\delta)^d$ .
- The volume of each ball is  $\frac{1}{c_d}(\delta/2)^d$ , for a constant  $c_d$ .
- Thus, the maximum number of balls, or points, is at most  $c_d 4^d$ , which is O(1).



### **Closest Pair Algorithm**

- Divide the input S into  $S_1, S_2$  by the median hyperplane normal to some axis.
- Recursively compute  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  for  $S_1, S_2$ . Set  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ .
- Let S' be the set of points that are within  $\delta$  of H, projected onto H.
- Use the  $\delta$ -sparsity condition to recursively examine all pairs in S'—there are only O(n) pairs.
- The recurrence for the final algorithm is:

$$T(n,d) = 2T(n/2,d) + U(n,d-1) + O(n)$$
  
=  $2T(n/2,d) + O(n(\log n)^{d-2}) + O(n)$   
=  $O(n(\log n)^{d-1}).$ 

### **Improving the Algorithm**

- If we could show that the problem size in the conquer step is  $m \le n/(\log n)^{d-2}$ , then  $U(m, d-1) = O(m(\log m)^{d-2}) = O(n)$ .
- This would give final recurrence T(n,d) = 2T(n/2,d) + O(n) + O(n), which solves to  $O(n \log n)$ .
- Theorem: Given a set S with  $\delta$ -sparsity, there exists a hyperplane H normal to some axis such that
  - **1.**  $|S_1|, |S_2| \ge n/4d$ .
  - **2. Number of points within**  $\delta$  of H is  $O(\frac{n}{(\log n)^{d-2}})$ .
  - **3.** H can be found in O(n) time.

# **Sparse Hyperplane**

- We prove the theorem for 2D. Show there is a line with  $\alpha\sqrt{n}$  points within  $\delta$  of it, for some constant  $\alpha$ .
- For contradiction, assume no such line exists.
- Partition the plane by placing vertical lines at distance 2δ from each other, where n/8 points to the left of leftmost line, and right of rightmost line.



### **Sparse Hyperplane**

• If there are k slabs, we have  $k\alpha\sqrt{n} \leq 3n/4$ , which gives  $k \leq \frac{3}{4\alpha}\sqrt{n}$ .



- Similarly, if there is no horizontal line with desired properties, we get  $l \leq \frac{3}{4\alpha}\sqrt{n}$ .
- By sparsity, number of points in any  $2\delta$  cell is some constant c.

# Sparse Hyperplane



- This gives that the num. of points inside all the slabs is at most ckl, which is at most  $\left(\frac{3}{4\alpha}\right)^2 cn$ .
- Since there are  $\geq n/2$  points inside the slabs, this is a contradiction if we choose  $\alpha \geq \frac{\sqrt{18c}}{4}$ .
- So, one of these k vertical of l horizontal lines must satisfy the desired properties.
- Since we know  $\delta$ , we can check these k + llines and choose the correct one in O(n)time.

# **Optimal Algorithm**

- Actually we can start the algorithm with such a hyperplane.
- The divide and conquer algorithm now satisfies the recurrence T(n,d) = 2T(n/2,d) + U(m,d-1) + O(n).
- By new sparsity claim,  $m \leq n/(\log n)^{d-2}$ , and so  $U(m, d-1) = O(m(\log m)^{d-2}) = O(n)$ .
- Thus, T(n,d) = 2T(n/2,d) + O(n) + O(n), which solves to  $O(n \log n)$ .
- Solves the Closest Pair problem in fixed din optimal  $O(n \log n)$  time.