Shared Memory Programming with Pthreads

T. Yang. UCSB CS240A. Spring 2016

Outline

- Shared memory programming: Overview
- POSIX pthreads
- Critical section & thread synchronization.
 - Mutexes.
 - Producer-consumer synchronization and semaphores.
 - Barriers and condition variables.
 - Read-write locks.
- Thread safety.

Shared Memory Architecture



Processes and Threads

- A process is an instance of a running (or suspended) program.
- Threads are analogous to a "light-weight" process.
- In a shared memory program a single process may have multiple threads of control.



Threads are created within a process



Concurrent Thread Execution

- Two threads run concurrently if their logical flows overlap in time
- Otherwise, they are sequential (we'll see that processes have a similar rule)



Execution Flow on one-core or multi-core systems

Concurrent execution on a single core system





Parallel execution on a multi-core system



Benefits of multi-threading

- Responsiveness
- Resource Sharing

Shared memory



- Economy
- Scalability
 - Explore multi-core CPUs



Thread Programming with Shared Memory

- Program is a collection of threads of control.
 - Can be created dynamically
- Each thread has a set of private variables, e.g., local stack variables
- Also a set of shared variables, e.g., static variables, shared common blocks, or global heap.
 - Threads communicate implicitly by writing and reading shared variables.
 - Threads coordinate by synchronizing on shared variables



Shared Memory Programming

Several Thread Libraries/systems

- Pthreads is the POSIX Standard
 - Relatively low level
 - Portable but possibly slow; relatively heavyweight
- OpenMP standard for application level programming
 - Support for scientific programming on shared memory
 - http://www.openMP.org
- Java Threads
- TBB: Thread Building Blocks

Intel

- CILK: Language of the C "ilk"
 - Lightweight threads embedded into C

Creation of Unix processes vs. Pthreads





A closer look (1)



We won't be using, so we just pass NULL.

Allocate before calling.

A closer look (2)



Pointer to the argument that should be passed to the function *start_routine*.

The function that the thread is to run.

Function started by pthread_create

- Prototype: void* thread_function (void* args_p);
- Void* can be cast to any pointer type in C.
- So args_p can point to a list containing one or more values needed by thread_function.
- Similarly, the return value of thread_function can point to a list of one or more values.

Wait for Completion of Threads

pthread_join(pthread_t *thread, void
 **result);

- Wait for specified thread to finish. Place exit value into *result.
- We call the function pthread_join once for each thread.
- A single call to pthread_join will wait for the thread associated with the pthread_t object to complete.

Example of Pthreads

```
thread
#include <pthread.h>
                                           pthread create
#include <stdio.h>
                                           pthread_create
void *PrintHello(void * id){
 printf("Thread%d: Hello World!\n", id);
void main (){
 pthread_t thread0, thread1;
 pthread_create(&thread0, NULL, PrintHello, (void *) 0);
 pthread_create(&thread1, NULL, PrintHello, (void *) 1);
```

Example of Pthreads with join

```
thread
#include <pthread.h>
                                             pthread create
#include <stdio.h>
                                             pthread_create
void *PrintHello(void * id){
 printf("Hello from thread %d\n", id);
void main (){
 pthread_t thread0, thread1;
 pthread_create(&thread0, NULL, PrintHello, (void *) 0);
  pthread_create(&thread1, NULL, PrintHello, (void *) 1);
  pthread_join(thread0, NULL);
  pthread_join(thread1, NULL);
```

Some More Pthread Functions

- pthread_yield();
 - Informs the scheduler that the thread is willing to yield
- pthread_exit(void *value);
 - Exit thread and pass value to joining thread (if exists)

Others:

- pthread_t me; me = pthread_self();
 - Allows a pthread to obtain its own identifier pthread_t thread;
- Synchronizing access to shared variables
 - pthread_mutex_init, pthread_mutex_[un]lock
 - pthread_cond_init, pthread_cond_[timed]wait

Compiling a Pthread program

gcc -g -Wall -o pth_hello pth_hello . c -lpthread

link in the Pthreads library

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

Running a Pthreads program

./ pth_hello

Hello from thread 1 Hello from thread 0

. / pth_hello

Hello from thread 0 Hello from thread 1

> Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

Difference between Single and Multithreaded Processes Shared memory access for code/data

Separate control flow -> separate stack/registers





CRITICAL SECTIONS

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved



- Also called critical section problem.
- A race condition or data race occurs when:
 - two processors (or two threads) access the same variable, and at least one does a write.
 - The accesses are concurrent (not synchronized) so they could happen simultaneously



1. Busy waiting

- 2. Mutex (lock)
- **3. Semaphore**
- 4. Conditional Variables



A thread repeatedly tests a condition, but, effectively, does no useful work until the condition has the appropriate value.
Weakness: Waste CPU resource. Sometime not safe with compiler optimization.



- Mutex (mutual exclusion) is a special type of variable used to restrict access to a critical section to a single thread at a time.
- guarantee that one thread "excludes" all other threads while it executes the critical section.
- When A thread waits on a mutex/lock, CPU resource can be used by others.
- Only thread that has acquired the lock can release this lock



Execution example with 2 threads

Thread 1



Thread 2



Critical section

Unlock/Release mutex

Mutexes in Pthreads

A special type for mutexes: pthread_mutex_t.

int pthread_mutex_init(pthread_mutex_t* mutex_p /* out */ const pthread_mutexattr_t* attr_p /* in */);

• To gain access to a critical section, call

int pthread_mutex_lock(pthread_mutex_t* mutex_p /* in/out */);

• To release

int pthread_mutex_unlock(pthread_mutex_t* mutex_p /* in/out */);

• When finishing use of a mutex, call

int pthread_mutex_destroy(pthread_mutex_t* mutex_p /* in/out */);

Global sum function that uses a mutex (1)

```
void * Thread_sum(void * rank) {
   long my_rank = (long) rank;
   double factor;
   long long i;
   long long my_n = n/thread_count;
   long long my_first_i = my_n*my_rank;
   long long my_last_i = my_first_i + my_n;
   double my_sum = 0.0;
   if (my_first_i % 2 == 0)
      factor = 1.0;
   else
```

factor = -1.0;

Global sum function that uses a mutex (2)

```
for (i = my_first_i; i < my_last_i; i++, factor = -factor) {
    my_sum += factor/(2*i+1);</pre>
```

```
pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
sum += my_sum;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
```

return NULL; /* Thread_sum */

Semaphore: Generalization from mutex locks

- Semaphore S integer variable
- Can only be accessed /modified via two (atomic) operations with the following semantics:
 - wait (S) { //also called P()
 while S <= 0 wait in a queue;
 S--;
 }</pre>

post(S) { //also called V()



'I think Lassie is trying to tell us something, ma.'

S++;
Wake up a thread that waits in the queue.
}

Why Semaphores?

Synchronization	Functionality/weakness	
Busy waiting	Spinning for a condition. Waste resource. Not safe	
Mutex lock	Support code with simple mutual exclusion	
Semaphore	Handle more complex signal-based synchronization	



- Allow a resource to be shared among multiple threads.
 - Mutex: no more than 1 thread for one protected region.

nk Lassie is trying to tell us something,

- Allow a thread waiting for a condition after a signal
 - E.g. Control the access order of threads entering the critical section.
 - For mutexes, the order is left to chance and the system.

Syntax of Pthread semaphore functions

#include <semaphore.h>

Semaphores are not part of Pthreads; you need to add this.

int	sem_init(
	sem_t*	semaphore_p	/*	out	*/,
	int	shared	/*	in	*/,
	unsigned	initial_val	/*	in	*/);

int sem_destroy(sem_t* semaphore_p /* in/out */);
int sem_post(sem_t* semaphore_p /* in/out */);
int sem_wait(sem_t* semaphore_p /* in/out */);



Producer-consumer Synchronization and Semaphores

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved



- Thread x produces a message for Thread x+1.
 - Last thread produces a message for thread 0.
- Each thread prints a message sent from its source.
- Will there be null messages printed?
 - A consumer thread prints its source message before this message is produced.
 - How to avoid that?
Flag-based Synchronization with 3 threads



To make sure a message is received/printed, use busy waiting.

First attempt at sending messages using pthreads



Semaphore Synchronization with 3 threads



Message sending with semaphores

sprintf(my_msg, "Hello to %ld from %ld", dest, my_rank); messages[dest] = my_msg;

sem_post(&semaphores[dest]);

```
/* signal the dest thread*/
```

sem_wait(&semaphores[my_rank]);

/* Wait until the source message is created */

```
printf("Thread %ld > %s\n", my_rank,
    messages[my_rank]);
```



READERS-WRITERS PROBLEM

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

Synchronization Example for Readers-Writers Problem

- A data set is shared among a number of concurrent threads.
 - Readers only read the data set; they do **not** perform any updates
 - Writers can both read and write
- Requirement:
 - allow multiple readers to read at the same time.
 - Only one writer can access the shared data at the same time.
- Reader/writer access permission table:

	Reader	Writer
Reader	OK	No
Writer	NO	No

Readers-Writers (First try with 1 mutex lock)



do {
 mutex_lock(w);
 // writing is performed
 mutex_unlock(w);
} while (TRUE);

Reader

do {
 mutex_lock(w);
 // reading is performed
 mutex_unlock(w);
} while (TRUE);

	Reader	Writer
Reader	?	?
Writer	?	?

Readers-Writers (First try with 1 mutex lock)



do {
 mutex_lock(w);
 // writing is performed
 mutex_unlock(w);
} while (TRUE);

Reader

do {
 mutex_lock(w);
 // reading is performed
 mutex_unlock(w);
} while (TRUE);

	Reader	Writer		
Reader	no	no		
Writer	no	no		

2nd try using a lock + readcount

• writer

do {

mutex_lock(w);// Use writer mutex lock
 // writing is performed
 mutex_unlock(w);
} while (TRUE);

Reader

do {

readcount++; // add a reader counter. if(readcount==1) mutex_lock(w); // reading is performed readcount--; if(readcount==0) mutex_unlock(w); } while (TRUE);

Readers-Writers Problem with semaphone

- Shared Data
 - Data set
 - Lock mutex (to protect readcount)
 - Semaphore wrt initialized to 1 (to synchronize between readers/writers)
 - Integer readcount initialized to 0

Readers-Writers Problem

• A writer

do {
 sem_wait(wrt); //semaphore wrt

// writing is performed

sem_post(wrt); //
} while (TRUE);

Readers-Writers Problem (Cont.)



do {

```
mutex_lock(mutex);
readcount ++ ;
if (readcount == 1)
            sem_wait(wrt); //check if anybody is writing
mutex_unlock(mutex)
```

// reading is performed



- Synchronizing the threads to make sure that they all are at the same point in a program is called a barrier.
- No thread can cross the barrier until all the threads have reached it.
- Availability:

 No barrier provided by Pthreads library and needs a custom implementation

> Barrier is implicit in OpenMP

and available in MPI.



Condition Variables

- Why?
- More programming primitives to simplify code for synchronization of threads

Synchronization	Functionality
Busy waiting	Spinning for a condition. Waste resource. Not safe
Mutex lock	Support code with simple mutual exclusion
Semaphore	Signal-based synchronization. Allow sharing (not wait unless semaphore=0)
Barrier	Rendezvous-based synchronization
Condition variables	More complex synchronization: Let threads wait until a user-defined condition becomes true

Synchronization Primitive: Condition Variables

- Used together with a lock
- One can specify more general waiting condition compared to semaphores.
- A thread is blocked when condition is no true:
 - placed in a waiting queue, yielding CPU resource to somebody else.
 - Wake up until receiving a signal

Pthread synchronization: Condition variables

int status; pthread_condition_t cond;

const pthread_condattr_t attr;

pthread_mutex mutex;

status = pthread_cond_init(&cond,&attr);

status = pthread_cond_destroy(&cond);

status = pthread_cond_wait(&cond,&mutex);

-wait in a queue until somebody wakes up. Then the mutex is reacquired.

status = pthread_cond_signal(&cond);

- wake up one waiting thread.

status = pthread_cond_broadcast(&cond);

- wake up all waiting threads in that condition

How to Use Condition Variables: Typical Flow

 Thread 1: //try to get into critical section and wait for the condition

Mutex_lock(mutex);

While (condition is not satisfied)

Cond_Wait(mutex, cond);

Critical Section;

Mutex_unlock(mutex)

Thread 2: // Try to create the condition.
 Mutex_lock(mutex);
 When condition can satisfy, Signal(cond);
 Mutex_unlock(mutex);

Condition variables for in producerconsumer problem with unbounded buffer

Producer deposits data in a buffer for others to consume



First version for consumer-producer problem with unbounded buffer

- int avail=0; // # of data items available for consumption
- Consumer thread:

while (avail <=0); //wait Consume next item; avail = avail-1;

• *Producer thread:*

Produce next item; avail = avail+1; //notify an item is available

Condition Variables for consumer-producer problem with unbounded buffer

- int avail=0; // # of data items available for consumption
- Pthread mutex m and condition cond;
- Consumer thread:

multex_lock(&m)
while (avail <=0) Cond_Wait(&cond, &m);
Consume next item; avail = avail-1;
mutex_unlock(&mutex)</pre>

Producer thread:

mutex_lock(&m); Produce next item; availl = avail+1; Cond_signal(&cond); //notify an item is available mutex_unlock(&m);

When to use condition broadcast?

- When waking up one thread to run is not sufficient.
- Example: concurrent malloc()/free() for allocation and deallocation of objects with non-uniform sizes.

Running trace of malloc()/free()

- Initially 10 bytes are free.
- m() stands for malloc(). f() for free()

Thread 1:	Thread 2:	Thread 3:
m(10) – succ	m(5) – wait	m(5) – wait
f(10) -broadcast		
	Resume m(5)-succ	
		Resume m(5)-succ
m(7) – wait		
		m(3) –wait
	f(5) -broadcast	
Resume m(7)-wait		Resume m(3)-succ

Time



Issues with Threads: False Sharing, Deadlocks, Thread-safety

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

Problem: False Sharing

- Occurs when two or more processors/cores access different data in same cache line, and at least one of them writes.
 - Leads to ping-pong effect.
- Let's assume we parallelize code with p=2:

- Each array element takes 8 bytes
- Cache line has 64 bytes (8 numbers)



False Sharing: Example (2 of 3)

Execute this program in two processors for(i=0; i<n; i++) a[i] = b[i];

cache line



Written by CPU 0 Written by CPU 1



Matrix-Vector Multiplication with Pthreads

Parallel programming book by Pacheco book P.159-162

Sequential code

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1*1+2*2+3*3 \\ 4*1+5*2+6*3 \\ 7*1+8*2+9*3 \end{pmatrix}^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 14 \\ 32 \\ 50 \end{pmatrix}$$
/* For each row of A */
for (i = 0; i < m; i++) {
 y[i] = 0.0;
 /* For each element of the row and each element of x */
 for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
 y[i] += A[i][j]* x[j];
}

<i>a</i> ₀₀	<i>a</i> ₀₁	 $a_{0,n-1}$		Уо
a_{10}	a_{11}	 $a_{1,n-1}$	x_0	У1
÷	:	:	<i>x</i> ₁	:
·	•			
a_{i0}	a_{i1}	 $a_{i,n-1}$: -	$y_i = a_{i0}x_0 + a_{i1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{i,n-1}x_{n-1}$
<i>a</i> _{i0}	<i>a</i> _{i1}	 <i>a_{i,n-1}</i>	\vdots	$y_i = a_{i0}x_0 + a_{i1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{i,n-1}x_{n-1}$

Block Mapping for Matrix-Vector Multiplication



Using 3 Pthreads for 6 Rows: 2 row per thread

	Components	
Thread	of y	
0	y[0], y[1]	S0, S1
1	y[2], y[3]	⇒ S2, S3
2	y[4], y[5]	└> S4,S5

Code for S0 y[0] = 0.0; for (j = 0; j < n; j++) y[0] += A[0][j]* x[j];

Code for Si

Pthread code for thread with ID rank

	i-th thread calls Pth_mat_vect(&i)
<pre>void *Pth_mat_vect(void* rank) {</pre>	m is # of rows in this matrix A.
<pre>long my_rank = (long) rank;</pre>	n is $\#$ of columns in this matrix A.
int i, j;	local_m is # of rows handled by
<pre>int local_m = m/thread_count;</pre>	this thread.
<pre>int my_first_row = my_rank*lo</pre>	cal_m;
<pre>int my_last_row = (my_rank+1);</pre>	<pre>*local_m - 1;</pre>
<pre>for (i = my_first_row; i <= my</pre>	<u>y_</u> last_row; i++) {

Task Si y[i] = 0.0; for (j = 0; j < n; j++) y[i] += A[i][j]*x[j];
}

return NULL; /* Pth_mat_vect */

Impact of false sharing on performance of matrix-vector multiplication

	Matrix Dimension					
	$8,000,000 \times 8$		8000×8000		$8 \times 8,000,000$	
Threads	Time	Eff.	Time	Eff.	Time	Eff.
1	0.393	1.000	0.345	1.000	0.441	1.000
2	0.217	0.906	0.188	0.918	0.300	0.735
4	0.139	0.707	0.115	0.750	0.388	0.290

(times are in seconds)

Why is performance of 8x8,000,000 matrix bad? How to fix that?

Copyright © 2010, Elsevier Inc. All rights Reserved

Deadlock and Starvation

- Deadlock two or more threads are waiting indefinitely for an event that can be only caused by one of these waiting threads
- **Starvation** indefinite blocking (in a waiting queue forever).
 - Let s and o be two mutex locks:

P₀ Lock(S); Lock(Q); . . Unlock(Q); Unlock(S);

P₁ Lock(Q); Lock(S);

- •
- •
- . Unlock(S); Unlock(Q);

Deadlock Avoidance

- Order the locks and always acquire the locks in that order.
- Eliminate circular waiting

P₀ Lock(S); Lock(Q);

- - •

Unlock(Q); Unlock(S); P₁ Lock(S); Lock(Q);

- .

Unlock(Q); Unlock(S);

Thread-Safety



- A block of code is thread-safe if it can be simultaneously executed by multiple threads without causing problems.
- When you program your own functions, you know if they are safe to be called by multiple threads or not.
- You may forget to check if system library functions used are thread-safe.
 - Unsafe function: strtok()from C string.h library
 - Other example.
 - The random number generator random in stdlib.h.
 - The time conversion function localtime in time.h.

Concluding Remarks

- A thread in shared-memory programming is analogous to a process in distributed memory programming.
 - However, a thread is often lighter-weight than a fullfledged process.
- When multiple threads access a shared resource without controling, it may result in an error: we have a race condition.
 - A critical section is a block of code that updates a shared resource that can only be updated by one thread at a time
 - Mutex, semaphore, condition variables
- Issues: false sharing, deadlock, thread safety