

**Carnegie Mellon** 

# Higher-Order Total Variation Classes on Grids: Minimax Theory and Trend Filtering Methods



Higher-order TV-denoising recovers a better estimate

 $\widehat{\theta} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\circ} \|\theta - y\|^2 + \lambda \|D\theta\|_1 - \operatorname{not a linear smoother}$ 

#### Nonparametric Regression on Graphs (d-dim grids)

$$y_i \sim N(\theta_{0,i}, \sigma^2)$$
, i.i.d., for  $i = 1, ..., n$ ,

- y is observed on every vertex of a graph.
- Estimate  $\theta_0$  using noisy observation y.

#### $\diamond$ Optimal rates (d-dim grids, kth order TV)

	k = 0	$k \ge 1$
d = 1	$n^{-(2k+2)/(2k+3)}$ (Trend	Filter)
d > 1	$C_n/n$ (TV-denoising)	??

#### ♦ Questions of interest

- 1. What is the discrete analog of kth order TV on grids (d > 1)?
- 2. Theoretically quantifying the denoising performance
  - How fast does MSE converge to 0 as we get more pixels?
- 3. Information-theoretic limit
  - How fast does it get for any method?

Veeranjaneyulu Sadhanala, Yu-Xiang Wang, James Sharpanack, Ryan J. Tibshirani

## KRONECKER TF AND GRAPH TF

♦ Kronecker Trend Filtering (KTF) Penalty is the sum of univariate penalties along rows and columns

$$\|\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}^{(k+1)}\theta\|_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|D_{1d}^{(k+1)}\theta_{.j}\|_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|D_{1d}^{(k+1)}\theta_{i.}\|_{1}$$

◇ Graph Trend Filtering (GTF) (Wang et al., 2014):  $\Delta_{\rm G}^{(1)}, \Delta_{\rm G}^{(2)}, \Delta_{\rm G}^{(3)}, \Delta_{\rm G}^{(4)}, \ldots = D, L, DL, L^2, \ldots$ 

where  $L = D^T D$  is the Laplacian of the grid. For k = 1,

GTF: 
$$|(\theta_1 - 2\theta_0 + \theta_2) + (\theta_3 - 2\theta_0 + \theta_4)|$$
  
KTF:  $|\theta_1 - 2\theta_0 + \theta_2| + |\theta_3 - 2\theta_0 + \theta_4|$ 

• null $(\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}^{(k+1)})$ :  $p \otimes q$  where p, q polynomials of degree  $\leq k$ •  $\operatorname{null}(\Delta_{\mathbf{G}}^{(k+1)})$  is  $\mathbb{1}$ : constant function.

#### FUNCTION CLASSES/SMOOTHNESS



- Holder class  $\mathcal{H}_d^{k+1}(L) \subseteq \mathrm{KTF}$  class  $\mathcal{T}_d^k(C_n)$  if  $C_n = cn^{1-(k+1)/d}$ (canonical scaling). This delivers a lower bound for KTF class.
- No such embedding for GTF class due to boundary artifacts! Embed an ellipsoid and apply classic results from Donoho, Liu & McGibbon (1990)

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_d^k(B_n) = \left\{ \theta : \|\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}^{(k+1)}\theta\|_1 \le B_n \right\},$
- $\longleftarrow \mathcal{T}_d^k(C_n) = \left\{ \theta : \|\Delta_{\mathbf{K}}^{(k+1)}\theta\|_1 \le C_n \right\},$
- $\mathcal{H}_d^{k+1}(L) = \{ f(i/N) : f \in H(k+1, L; [0, 1]^d), i \in [N]^d \}.$

#### **OUR RESULTS**

$$\diamond \text{ Upper bounds: } (d = 2, k \ge 1) \text{ if } \|\Delta_{\mathrm{K}}\theta_{0}\|_{1} \le C_{n}, \|\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}\theta_{0}\|_{1} \le B_{n}$$

$$\mathrm{MSE}(\widehat{\theta}_{K}, \theta_{0}) = \widetilde{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \left(\frac{C_{n}}{n}\right)^{2/(k+2)}, \quad \mathrm{MSE}(\widehat{\theta}_{G}, \theta_{0}) = \widetilde{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \left(\frac{B_{n}}{n}\right)^{2/(k+2)}$$

$$\diamond \text{ Lower bounds: For all } d, k$$

$$\mathrm{Risk}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{d}(C_{n})) = \Omega\left((C_{n}/n)^{\frac{2d}{2k+2+d}}\right), \quad \mathrm{Risk}(\mathcal{T}_{d}(B_{n})) = \Omega\left((B_{n}/n)^{\frac{2d}{2k+2+d}}\right)$$

**Upper bounds:** 
$$(d = 2, k \ge 1)$$
 if  $\|\Delta_{\mathrm{K}}\theta_0\|_1 \le C_n$ ,  $\|\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}\theta_0\|_1 \le B_n$   
 $\mathrm{MSE}(\widehat{\theta}_K, \theta_0) = \widetilde{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \left(\frac{C_n}{n}\right)^{2/(k+2)}$ ,  $\mathrm{MSE}(\widehat{\theta}_G, \theta_0) = \widetilde{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \left(\frac{B_n}{n}\right)^{2/(k+2)}$   
**Lower bounds:** For all  $d, k$   
 $\mathrm{isk}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_d(C_n)) = \Omega\left((C_n/n)^{\frac{2d}{2k+2+d}}\right)$ ,  $\mathrm{Risk}(\mathcal{T}_d(B_n)) = \Omega\left((B_n/n)^{\frac{2d}{2k+2+d}}\right)$ 

Matching rates for  $d = 2, k \ge 1$  up to log factors

#### ♦ Minimax rates under canonical scaling:

Univariate TF (Tibshirani, 2014 <u>)</u>			d=1	d=2	d>2	(Sadhanala, Wang, Tibshirani, 2016)
(Mammen& Van De Geer, 2001)	k=0	$n^{-2/3}$	$n^{-2/4}$	$n^{-\frac{1}{d}}$		
(This paper!)	k=1	$n^{-4/5}$	$n^{-4/6}$	?	Open problem:	
		k>1	$n^{-\frac{2k+2}{2k+3}}$	$n^{-\frac{2k+2}{2k+4}}$	?	Minimax rate for d>2, k>1

### ♦ Upper bound proof ideas:

- $\Delta_{\rm K}, \Delta_{\rm G}$  have Kronecker product structure



• Singular values do not decay too fast

#### REFERENCES

- Annals of Statistics, 18(3):1416–1437, 1990.



• Use Theorem 6 of (Wang, Sharpnack, Smola, Tibshirani, 2016).

• Singular vectors are nearly-sinusoidal (challenging to prove!)

[1] Donoho, Liu, and MacGibbon. Minimax Risk Over Hyperrectangles, and Implications

[2] Wang, Sharpanack, Smola, Tibshirani. Trend Filtering on Graphs. JMLR, 2016. [3] Bogoya, Bottcher, Grudsky, and Maximenko. Eigenvectors of Hermitian Toeplitz matrices with smooth simple-loop symbols. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 2016.