Computer Science 160 Translation of Programming Languages

Instructor: Christopher Kruegel

– UC Santa Barbara ––––

Code Optimization

Code Optimization

- What should we optimize?
 - improve running time
 - decrease space requirements
 - decrease power consumption
- Why does optimization work?
 - remove redundancies
 - no need for full generality
 - more specific instances of abstract constructs
 - leverage knowledge of target machine
 - pipelining, runtime of instructions, ...

Program Analysis

- Scope of program analysis
 - within a basic block (local)
 - within a method (global or intra-procedural)
 - across methods (whole-program or inter-procedural)
- Analysis
 - control flow graph
 - dominators, loops, etc.
 - dataflow analysis
 - flow of values
 - static-single-assignment
 - transform programs such that each variable has a unique definition
 - alias analysis
 - pointer memory usage

Optimization Overview

- Classes of optimizations
 - machine independent or dependent
- Produce faster code
 - eliminate redundant (or useless) computation
 - common (sub)-expression elimination
 - constant folding
 - dead code elimination
 - move code
 - loop transformations
 - specialize code
 - instruction selection and scheduling
 - register allocation

Eliminate Redundant Computation

🗕 UC Santa Barbara 🛛





 $a \leftarrow b + c$

 $b \leftarrow a - d$

Rewritten Block

Local Value Numbering

- Basic idea
 - assigns a distinct number to each value that the block computes
 - choose the numbers so that two expressions, *e1* and *e2*, have the same value number if and only *e1* and *e2* have provably equal values for all possible operands of the expressions

$$a^{2} \leftarrow b^{0} + c^{1}$$

$$b^{4} \leftarrow a^{2} - d^{3}$$

$$c^{5} \leftarrow b^{4} + c^{1}$$

$$d^{4} \leftarrow a^{2} - d^{3}$$

- Is value "4" still in the hash table?
- Yes, and it is associated with "b"
- Thus, can replace last operation with copy from "b"

Local Value Numbering

UC Santa Barbara

for $i \leftarrow 0$ to n-1, where the block has n operations " $T_i \leftarrow L_i Op_i R_i$ "

1. get the value numbers for L_i and R_i

- 2. construct a hash key from Op_i and the value numbers for L_i and R_i
- 3. if the hash key is already present in the table then replace operation i with a copy of the value into T_i and associate the value number with T_i

else

insert a new value number into the table at the hash key location record that new value number for T_i

Local Value Numbering

- Extended LVN algorithm
 - add support for commutative operations
 - add support for constant folding
 - add support for algebraic identities
- Algebraic identities
 - multiply variable with 0 or 1
 - add or subtract 0 from a variable
 - xor variable with itself
 - more possibilities ...

- Simple example of global data flow analysis
 - similar techniques used for other applications (e.g., finding unused/dead code)
- Approach based on liveness analysis
 - variable v is live at point p if and only if there exists a path in the CFG from p to a use of v along which v is not redefined
- LiveOut(*B*)
 - set that contains all the variables that are live on exit from block B
 - Given a LiveOut set for the CFG entry node n_0 , each variable in LiveOut(n_0) has a potentially uninitialized use

- Computing LiveOut set for block B
 - use the LiveOut sets of B's successors in the CFG
 - use two sets UEVar(B) and VarKill(B) that encode facts how the code in B manipulates variables
- UEVar(B) Upward Exposed Variable
 - this set contains all the variables that are **used** in B (without being defined before their uses)
- VarKill(B)
 - this set contains all the variables that are **defined** in B
- Since LiveOut(B) depends on LiveOut of other blocks that it is connected to, we can use an iterative fixed-point method

LiveOut(n) for a block n based on successor nodes m

 $LIVEOUT(n) = \bigcup_{m \in succ(n)} (UEVar(m) \cup (LIVEOUT(m) \cap \overline{VarKill(m)}))$

Variable *v* is live on entry to *m* under one of two conditions:

- it can be referenced in *m* before it is redefined in *m*
- it can be live on exit from *m* and pass unscathed through *m* because *m* does not redefine it

- First, compute UEVar and VarKill for each block
- Second, apply iterative dataflow analysis

```
// assume CFG has N blocks
// numbered 0 to N-1
for i ← 0 to N-1
LIVEOUT(i) ← Ø
changed ← true
while (changed)
   changed ← false
   for i ← 0 to N-1
      recompute LIVEOUT(i)
      if LIVEOUT(i) changed then
      changed ← true
```



	UEVAR	VARKILL
B ₀	Ø	{i}
<i>B</i> ₁	{i}	Ø
B ₂	Ø	{S}
<i>B</i> ₃	{s,i}	{s,i}
B ₄	{S}	Ø

– UC Santa Barbara ––––

	LIVEOUT(<i>n</i>)				
Iteration	B ₀	B 1	B ₂	B 3	B 4
Initial	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
1	{i}	{s,i}	{s,i}	{s,i}	Ø
2	{s,i}	{s,i}	{s,i}	{s,i}	Ø
3	{s,i}	{s,i}	{s,i}	{s,i}	Ø



- Loop unrolling
 - take the body of a loop and make N consecutive copies
 - saves overhead of jumping back to loop head and evaluate loop condition
 - need to be careful to make sure that N copies of loop body need to be executed
 - short prologue loop that peels off enough iterations to ensure that the unrolled loop processes an integral multiple of N iterations
- Invariant code moving
 - invariant computations do not change with each loop iteration
 - compute value once outside of the loop, then use result inside loop body



- Code placement
 - change code layout to reduce number of jumps
 - convert frequently-taken edges into fall through operations





- Function inlining
 - procedure linkage creates overhead
 - function body might be very small (e.g., string copy)
 - copy function body into caller, save overhead

Instruction Selection

- Peephole optimization
 - use a small sliding window over sequence of instructions
 - replace individual instructions with faster alternatives
 - replace common sequences with faster alternatives
- Individual instructions
 - use shift instead of multiply (by power of 2)
 - use address computation logic instead of arithmetic

```
lea (%rdi,%rdi), %eax
instead of
shl $0x1, %edi
mov %edi, %eax
```

Instruction Selection

UC Santa Barbara

• Store followed by load

storeAI
$$r_1 \Rightarrow r_{arp}, 8 \Rightarrow r_{15} \Rightarrow storeAI $r_1 \Rightarrow r_{arp}, 8$
loadAI $r_{arp}, 8 \Rightarrow r_{15} \Rightarrow i2i r_1 \Rightarrow r_{15}$$$

• Double jump

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{jumpI} \rightarrow 1_{10} \\ 1_{10}: \text{ jumpI} \rightarrow 1_{11} \end{array} \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{jumpI} \rightarrow 1_{11} \\ 1_{10}: \text{ jumpI} \rightarrow 1_{11} \end{array}$$

More complex algorithms possible, which work on AST tree patters



- Exploit multiple functional units of CPU
 - make sure that all units are busy at the same time
 - integer and floating point units
 - pipeline units
- Move *independent* instructions around
- Example
 - load/store = 3 cycles, multiply = 2 cycles, rest = 1 cycle

 $a \leftarrow a \times 2 \times b \times c \times d$

Instruction Scheduling



Instruction Scheduling

UC Santa Barbara

Start		Operatio	ns	
1	loadAI	r _{arp} ,@a	\Rightarrow	r ₁
4	add	r ₁ , r ₁	\Rightarrow	r ₁
5	loadAI	r _{arp} ,@b	\Rightarrow	r ₂
8	mult	r ₁ , r ₂	\Rightarrow	r ₁
10	loadAI	r _{arp} ,@c	\Rightarrow	r ₂
13	mult	r ₁ , r ₂	\Rightarrow	r ₁
15	loadAI	r _{arp} ,@d	\Rightarrow	r ₂
18	mult	r ₁ , r ₂	\Rightarrow	r ₁
20	storeAI	r ₁	\Rightarrow	r _{arp} ,@a

(a) Original Code

Start	Operations			
1	loadAI	r _{arp} ,@a	\Rightarrow	r ₁
2	loadAI	r _{arp} ,@b	\Rightarrow	r ₂
3	loadAI	r _{arp} ,@c	\Rightarrow	r ₃
4	add	r ₁ ,r ₁	\Rightarrow	r ₁
5	mult	r ₁ ,r ₂	\Rightarrow	r ₁
6	loadAI	r _{arp} ,@d	\Rightarrow	r ₂
7	mult	r ₁ ,r ₃	\Rightarrow	r ₁
9	mult	r ₁ ,r ₂	\Rightarrow	r ₁
11	storeAI	r ₁	\Rightarrow	r _{arp} ,@a

(b) Scheduled Code